

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



mit.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,084	11/06/2001	Todd K. Whitehurst	AB-147U	7483	
23845 7590 03/01/2004 ADVANCED BIONICS CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
			MANUEL, GEORGE C		
12740 SAN FERNANDO ROAD SYLMAR, CA 91342			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	·		3762	1 /	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
		—)			
Office Action Summary	09/993,084	WHITEHURST ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The BEAU INC DATE of this communication	George Manuel	3762	_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	i appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second of the sec	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	02 June 2003				
·	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13,15,16,19,22,24,25 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>9,14,17,18,20,21,23,26,27 and 2</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration. 1 28 is/are rejected. 19 is/are objected to.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exal					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been o ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.3.	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Serial Number: 09993084 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

2. Claims 13, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 13, 19 and 22 are indefinite because the language "greater than about 50-100 Hz" and "less than about 50-100 Hz" is unclear. The range limitation of about 50-100 Hz is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

3. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Serial Number: 09993084 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

5. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by WO 01/66183 A1.

WO 01/66183 A1 discloses an implantable pancreatic controller 102. Page 21 teaches differentially electrifying electrodes 112 causes the pancreas to increase secretion from one part while decreasing secretion from a different part at the same time. Line 29 teaches beta cells are hyper polarized.

6. Claims 24, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Houben et al '141.

Houben et al disclose applying a drug stimulation comprising delivering insulin. It is well known insulin stimulates the secretion of glucagon.

Serial Number: 09993084 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 26, 27 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (703) 308-2118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri., 9:00-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762

February 24, 2004